

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/879,043

Applicant(s)

ODA ET AL.

Examiner

Cathy Lam

Art Unit

1775

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Cathy Lam.

(3) _____.

(2) Atty: Tom Blinka.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: or record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant explained the metal particles in this present invention is wetted with water (undried) before mixing with the solvent. Applicant pointed out the the wetted particles mixed with the solvent and binder would give a less aggregated paste.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Cathy Lam
Examiner's signature, if required